From: svodauscontent
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]; svodauscontent
Subject: RE: Netflix / ACMA meeting - 23 April [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive]
Date: Thursday, 16 April 2026 5:15:40 PM

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Hi [redacted]

Confirming receipt. We look forward to discussing next week.

I've forwarded this correspondence on to our [redacted] – who you have met previously, and will also be attending the meeting on Thursday in order to consider what is being proposed for the calculation of total program expenditure. I appreciate the sensitivity of the materials.

We'll consider internally if we have questions, and will look to come prepared to discuss the below questions from Netflix. We'll also provide some advice on what our next steps look like over the coming couple of months.

Cheers,

[redacted]

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

From: [redacted]@netflix.com>
Sent: Thursday, 16 April 2026 4:44 PM
To: svodauscontent <svodauscontent@acma.gov.au>; [redacted]@acma.gov.au>; [redacted]@acma.gov.au>
Cc: [redacted]@netflix.com>
Subject: Netflix / ACMA meeting - 23 April

CAUTION: This email is from an external sender. Do NOT click on links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and KNOW the content is safe. If you are in doubt, please contact the Corporate Service Desk.

Hi all

Looking forward to meeting on 23 April. In advance of the call, we've put together a draft agenda so we can make the most of the time.

s 45, s 47G [redacted]

[redacted]

2. **Confirm outstanding compliance / implementation questions.**

    1. Accrual v cash based accounting - confirmation on whether TPE can be calculated using a launch year based calculation.
    2. Post production - thanks for the clarification. Could you please provide a complete list of the post-production expenses that are eligible for inclusion in the qualifying expenditure? [redacted]

        s 47, s 47G

        [redacted]
    3. Subscription broadcaster v streamer expenditure obligations - thanks for the clarification on the split of obligations for streamers attached to a commercial broadcaster. How would this also apply to streamers attached to a subscription broadcaster (i.e. Binge and Foxtel)? What steps will the ACMA take to ensure that expenditure on an eligible program will not be 'double counted' towards both services' independent obligations?

[redacted]

s 47G

[redacted]

Let us know if there's anything additional you'd like to discuss.

Many thanks

[redacted]